

K-HOMOLOGY OF THE CAR ALGEBRA

TOM HADFIELD

ABSTRACT. We compare the K-theory and K-homology of the well known CAR algebra. While the K_0 group is infinitely generated, we show that it pairs identically to zero with even Fredholm modules over the algebra. We show further that in fact the even K-homology is trivial, while the odd K-homology group is very large. These results give some insight into the K-homology of AF-algebras.

1. THE CAR ALGEBRA

We begin by recalling the definition of the CAR algebra. For each positive integer n , denote by A_n the full matrix algebra $M_{2^n}(\mathbf{C})$, and let $\phi_{n+1,n} : A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1}$ be the *-homomorphism

$$(1) \quad [B_n] \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} B_n & 0 \\ 0 & B_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

For each $k \geq 1$, we define $\phi_{n+k,n} : A_n \rightarrow A_{n+k}$ by $\phi_{n+k,n} = \phi_{n+k,n+k-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{n+1,n}$. Let $A = \lim_{\rightarrow} A_n$ be the CAR algebra, and let $\phi_n : A_n \rightarrow A$ be the inclusion maps. For each $k \geq 0$ we have $\phi_n = \phi_{n+k} \circ \phi_{n+k,n}$.

The K-theory of the CAR algebra is well known [Da96] :

Proposition 1. $K_0(A) \cong \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$, $K_1(A) = 0$.

For each n , we have $K_0(A_n) \cong \mathbf{Z}$, generated by $[p_n]$, where $p_n \in A_n$ is a rank one projection, and $K_1(A_n) = 0$.

2. K-HOMOLOGY

Since the A_n are full matrix algebras their K-homology is well known. We have $KK^0(A_n, \mathbf{C}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ and $KK^1(A_n, \mathbf{C}) \cong 0$.

Lemma 2. *The generator of the even K-homology of A_n is the canonical even Fredholm module*

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{z}_0^{(n)} = (\mathbf{C}^{2^n} \oplus \mathbf{C}^{2^n}, \pi_n = id \oplus 0, F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix})$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $\mathbf{z}_0^{(n)}$ is a Fredholm module. It is immediate that $F = F^*$, $F^2 = 1$, and the commutators $[F, \pi(a)]$ are all trivially compact, since we are working with finite dimensional Hilbert spaces. To show nontriviality, we show that the Chern character of $\mathbf{z}_0^{(n)}$ pairs to 1 with the generator $[p_n]$ of $K_0(A_n)$. Recall that

$$(3) \quad \langle ch_*(\mathbf{z}_0^{(n)}), [p_n] \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (k!)^{-1} \psi_{2k}(p_n, \dots, p_n).$$

Date: February 1, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 19K33; Secondary 46L, 47L40.

Here $ch_* : KK^0(A_n, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow HC^{even}(A_n)$, is the even Chern character as defined in [Co94], p295, mapping the even K-homology of A_n into even periodic cyclic cohomology, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the pairing between K-theory and periodic cyclic cohomology defined in [Co94], p224, and ψ_{2k} is the cyclic $2k$ -cocycle given by

$$(4) \quad \psi_{2k}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2k}) = (-1)^{k(2k-1)} \Gamma(k+1) \text{Tr}(\gamma \pi(a_0) [F, \pi(a_1)] \dots [F, \pi(a_{2k})])$$

Since $\Gamma(k+1) = k!$ we have

$$(5) \quad \langle ch_*(\mathbf{z}_0^{(n)}), [p_n] \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^k \text{Tr}(\gamma \pi_n(p_n) [F, \pi_n(p_n)]^{2k})$$

Now, $[F, \pi_n(p_n)] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -p_n \\ p_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, hence $\gamma \pi_n(p_n) [F, \pi_n(p_n)]^{2k} = (-1)^k \begin{pmatrix} p_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore

$$(6) \quad \langle ch_*(\mathbf{z}_0^{(n)}), [p_n] \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^k \text{Tr}((-1)^k \begin{pmatrix} p_n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) = 1,$$

as claimed. $\square$

Lemma 3. $\phi_{n+1,n}^*(\mathbf{z}_0^{(n+1)}) = 2\mathbf{z}_0^{(n)}$.

Proof. By definition,

$$(7) \quad \phi_{n+1,n}^*(\mathbf{z}_0^{(n+1)}) = (\mathbf{C}^{2^{n+1}} \oplus \mathbf{C}^{2^{n+1}}, id \circ \phi_{n+1,n} \oplus 0, F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix})$$

$$(8) \quad = ((\mathbf{C}^{2^n} \oplus \mathbf{C}^{2^n}) \oplus (\mathbf{C}^{2^n} \oplus \mathbf{C}^{2^n}), (id \oplus id) \oplus 0, F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}) \cong \mathbf{z}_0^{(n)} + \mathbf{z}_0^{(n)}$$

$\square$

Hence the tower of abelian groups

$$(9) \quad \dots \rightarrow KK^0(A_n, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow KK^0(A_1, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow KK^0(A_0, \mathbf{C})$$

is just $\dots \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ with each connecting map being given by $m \mapsto 2m$. It follows that :

Lemma 4. *The inverse limit of the even K-homology groups is trivial, $\lim_{\leftarrow} KK^0(A_n, \mathbf{C}) \cong 0$.*

Proof. Recall that, for a tower of abelian groups

$$(10) \quad \dots \rightarrow G_n \xrightarrow{f_n} G_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{f_0} G_0$$

the inverse limit $\lim_{\leftarrow} G_n$ is isomorphic to the abelian group consisting of all sequences $\{g_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, with $g_n \in G_n$ for each n , such that $g_{n-1} = f_n(g_n)$. In our situation, an element of the inverse limit will be a sequence $\{a_n\}$, $a_n \in \mathbf{Z}$, such that $a_n = 2a_{n+1}$ for each n . Hence we must have all $a_n = 0$. So the inverse limit is the trivial group. $\square$

Since the K-theory of the CAR algebra A is not free abelian, Rosenberg and Schochet's universal coefficient theorem [RS87], [Bla98] p234 does not give us the K-homology for free. However :

Proposition 5. *Given any $\mathbf{z} \in KK^0(A, \mathbf{C})$, we have $\phi_n^*(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{0} \in KK^0(A_n, \mathbf{C})$.*

Proof. Given $[x] \in K_0(A)$, since A is AF, there exists N so that for each $n \geq N$ there is $[x_n] \in K_0(A_n)$, such that $\phi_{n*}[x_n] = [x]$. Consider $\phi_n^*(\mathbf{z}) \in KK^0(A_n, \mathbf{C})$. We must have $\phi_n^*(\mathbf{z}) = k_n \mathbf{z}_0^{(n)}$ for some $k_n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Suppose $k_n \neq 0$. Then we can choose $p \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ so that 2^p does not divide k_n . Now, we have $\phi_n = \phi_{n+p} \circ \phi_{n+p,n}$. So $\phi_n^*(\mathbf{z}) = \phi_{n+p,n}^*(\phi_{n+p}^*(\mathbf{z}))$, and $\phi_{n+p}^*(\mathbf{z}) = k_{n+p} \mathbf{z}_0^{(n+p)}$. Hence $\phi_n^*(\mathbf{z}) = 2^p \phi_{n+p}^*(\mathbf{z})$, so $k_n = 2^p k_{n+p}$. But k_n is by assumption a nonzero integer, not divisible by 2^p . So this is a contradiction. So we must have $k_n = 0$ for all n , thus $\phi_n^*(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{0} \in KK^0(A_n, \mathbf{C})$. $\square$

Corollary 6. *Given any $\mathbf{z} \in KK^0(A, \mathbf{C})$, and any $[x] \in K_0(A)$, we have $\langle ch_*(\mathbf{z}), [x] \rangle = 0$.*

Proof. Given $[x] \in K_0(A)$, choose n and $[x_n] \in K_0(A_n)$ such that $\phi_{n*}[x_n] = [x]$. Then $\langle ch_*(\mathbf{z}), [x] \rangle = \langle ch_*(\mathbf{z}), \phi_{n*}[x_n] \rangle = \langle ch_*(\phi_n^*(\mathbf{z})), [x_n] \rangle = \langle ch_*(\mathbf{0}), [x_n] \rangle = 0$. $\square$

We would like to deduce from this that $KK^0(A, \mathbf{C}) = 0$, but we do not know whether the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of K-theory and K-homology is faithful. However, we can use the following special case of a much more general result of Rosenberg and Schochet [RS87] :

Proposition 7. *Suppose that $A = \lim_{\leftarrow} A_n$ is an AF-algebra. Then the following sequences on K-homology are exact:*

$$0 \rightarrow \lim^1_{\leftarrow} KK^1(A_n, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow KK^0(A, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow} KK^0(A_n, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \lim^1_{\leftarrow} KK^0(A_n, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow KK^1(A, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow} KK^1(A_n, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow 0$$

The left hand term is Milnors' $\lim^1_{\leftarrow}$, and the right hand term is the inverse limit of the K-homology groups. We showed (Lemma 4) that $\lim_{\leftarrow} KK^0(A_n, \mathbf{C}) \cong 0$. Furthermore, since $KK^1(A_n, \mathbf{C}) \cong 0$ for each n , we have $\lim_{\leftarrow} KK^1(A_n, \mathbf{C}) \cong 0$ also.

We have $KK^0(A_n, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow KK^0(A_{n-1}, \mathbf{C})$ corresponding to $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, $m \mapsto 2m$. Equivalently, this is

$$(11) \quad \mathbf{Z} \hookleftarrow 2\mathbf{Z} \hookleftarrow \dots \hookleftarrow 2^n \mathbf{Z} \hookleftarrow \dots$$

with all the maps just being inclusions. This is exactly the situation of [Wei94], Example 3.5.5, p82. We therefore have $\lim^1_{\leftarrow} KK^0(A_n, \mathbf{C}) = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2/\mathbf{Z}$, where $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2$ is the uncountable (additive) group of 2-adic integers. Hence for the CAR algebra A , we have $KK^1(A, \mathbf{C}) \cong \lim^1_{\leftarrow} KK^0(A_n, \mathbf{C}) = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2/\mathbf{Z}$.

The maps $KK^1(A_n, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow KK^1(A_{n-1}, \mathbf{C})$ are all just the trivial surjective maps $0 \rightarrow 0$, hence from [Wei94], p80, $\lim^1_{\leftarrow} KK^1(A_n, \mathbf{C}) = 0$ also. So $KK^0(A, \mathbf{C}) \cong \lim_{\leftarrow} KK^1(A_n, \mathbf{C}) = 0$.

Therefore we have shown that:

Proposition 8. *Let A be the CAR algebra. Then $KK^0(A, \mathbf{C}) \cong 0$, while $KK^1(A, \mathbf{C}) \cong \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2/\mathbf{Z}$.*

We note that these results are in agreement with [Bla98], Exercises 16.4.7, p141, and 23.15.2, p245.

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my advisor Professor Marc Rieffel for his advice and support throughout my time in Berkeley. I am extremely grateful for his help.

REFERENCES

- [Bla98] B. BLACKADAR *K-theory for operator algebras* : MSRI publications, 5. Cambridge University Press (1998).
- [Co94] A. CONNES *Noncommutative geometry* : Academic Press (1994).
- [Da96] K. DAVIDSON *C*-algebras by example* : Fields Institute Monographs **6** , Amer. Math. Soc. , Providence, RI (1996).
- [RS87] J. ROSENBERG C. SCHOCHET *The Kunneth theorem and the universal coefficient theorem for Kasparov's generalised K-functor* : Duke Math. J. **55**:2 , 431-474 (1987).
- [Wei94] C. WEIBEL *An introduction to homological algebra* : Cambridge University Press (1994).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720
E-mail address: `hadfield@math.berkeley.edu`